APPENDICES

			Page
A.	Independe	ence, Randomization, and Outliers	384
	1.	Statistical Independence	384
	2.	Randomization	384
	3.	Outliers	389
B.	Validating	Normality and Homogeneity of Variance Assumptions	390
	1.	Introduction	390
	2.	Tests for Normal Distribution of Data	390
	3.	Test for Homogeneity of Variance	397
	4.	Transformations of the Data	399
C.	Dunnett's	Procedure	401
	1.	Manual Calculations	401
	2.	Computer Calculations	408
D.	T test with	Bonferroni's Adjustment	414
E.	Steel's Ma	ny-one Rank Test	420
F.	Wilcoxon	Rank Sum Test	425
G.	Single Co 100% Eff	ncentration Toxicity Test - Comparison of Control with luent or Receiving Water	432
H.	Probit An	alysis	436
I.	Spearman	-Karber Method	439
J.	Trimmed	Spearman-Karber Method	444
K.	Graphical	Method	448
L.	Linear Int	erpolation Method	452
	1.	General Procedure	452
	2.	Data Summary and Plots	452
	3.	Monotonicity	452
	4.	Linear Interpolation Method	452
	5.	Confidence Intervals	453
	6.	Manual Calculations	454
	7. C	Computer Calculations	458
	Cited Refe	erences	463

APPENDIX A

INDEPENDENCE, RANDOMIZATION, AND OUTLIERS

1. STATISTICAL INDEPENDENCE

1.1 Dunnett's Procedure and the t test with Bonferroni's adjustment are parametric procedures based on the assumptions that (1) the observations within treatments are independent and normally distributed, and (2) that the variance of the observations is homogeneous across all toxicant concentrations and the control. Of the three possible departures from the assumptions, non-normality, heterogeneity of variance, and lack of independence, those caused by lack of independence are the most difficult to resolve (see Scheffe, 1959). For toxicity data, statistical independence means that given knowledge of the true mean for a given concentration or control, knowledge of the error in any one actual observation would provide no information about the error in any other observation. Lack of independence is difficult to assess and difficult to test for statistically. It may also have serious effects on the true alpha or beta level. Therefore, it is of utmost importance to be aware of the need for statistical independence between observations and to be constantly vigilant in avoiding any patterned experimental procedure that might compromise independence. One of the best ways to help insure independence is to follow proper randomization procedures throughout the test.

2. RANDOMIZATION

- 2.1 Randomization of the distribution of test organisms among test chambers, and the arrangement of treatments and replicate chambers is an important part of conducting a valid test. The purpose of randomization is to avoid situations where test organisms are placed serially into test chambers, or where all replicates for a test concentration are located adjacent to one another, which could introduce bias into the test results.
- 2.2 An example of randomization of the distribution of test organisms among test chambers, and an example of randomization of arrangement of treatments and replicate chambers are described using the Sheepshead Minnow Larval Survival and Growth test. For the purpose of the example, the test design is as follows: Five effluent concentrations are tested in addition to the control. The effluent concentrations are as follows: 6.25%, 12.5%, 25.0%, 50.0%, and 100.0%. There are four replicate chambers per treatment. Each replicate chamber contains ten fish.

2.3 RANDOMIZATION OF FISH TO REPLICATE CHAMBERS EXAMPLE

2.3.1 Consider first the random assignment of the fish to the replicate chambers. The first step is to label each of the replicate chambers with the control or effluent concentration and the replicate number. The next step is to assign each replicate chamber four double-digit numbers. An example of this assignment is provided in Table A.1. Note that the double digits 00 and 97 through 99 were not used.

TABLE A.1. RANDOM ASSIGNMENT OF FISH TO REPLICATE CHAMBERS EXAMPLE ASSIGNED NUMBERS FOR EACH REPLICATE CHAMBER

Assigned Nu	umbers	Repli	cate Chamber
01, 25, 4	49, 73	Control,	replicate chamber 1
02, 26, 5	50, 74	Control,	replicate chamber 2
03, 27, 5	51, 75	Control,	replicate chamber 3
04, 28, 5	52, 76	Control,	replicate chamber 4
05, 29, 5	53, 77	6.25% effluent,	replicate chamber 1
06, 30, 5	54, 78	6.25% effluent,	replicate chamber 2
07, 31, 5	55, 79	6.25% effluent,	replicate chamber 3
08, 32, 5	56, 80	6.25% effluent,	replicate chamber 4
09, 33, 5	57, 81	12.5% effluent,	replicate chamber 1
10, 34, 5	58, 82	12.5% effluent,	replicate chamber 2
11, 35, 5	59, 83	12.5% effluent,	replicate chamber 3
12, 36, 6	50, 84	12.5% effluent,	replicate chamber 4
13, 37, 6	61, 85	25.0% effluent,	replicate chamber 1
14, 38, 6	62, 86	25.0% effluent,	replicate chamber 2
15, 39, 6	63, 87	25.0% effluent,	replicate chamber 3
16, 40, 6	64, 88	25.0% effluent,	replicate chamber 4
17, 41, 6	65, 89	50.0% effluent,	replicate chamber 1
18, 42, 6	56, 90	50.0% effluent,	replicate chamber 2
19, 43, 6	67, 91	50.0% effluent,	replicate chamber 3
20, 44, 6	68, 92	50.0% effluent,	replicate chamber 4
21, 45, 6	69, 93	100.0% effluent,	replicate chamber 1
22, 46, 7	70, 94	100.0% effluent,	replicate chamber 2
23, 47, 7	71, 95	100.0% effluent,	replicate chamber 3
24, 48, 7	72, 96	100.0% effluent,	replicate chamber 4

2.3.2 The random numbers used to carry out the random assignment of fish to replicate chambers are provided in Table A.2. The third step is to choose a starting position in Table A.2, and read the first double digit number. The first number read identifies the replicate chamber for the first fish taken from the tank. For the example, the first entry in row 2 was chosen as the starting position. The first number in this row is 37. According to Table A.1, this number corresponds to replicate chamber 1 of the 25.0% effluent concentration. Thus, the first fish taken from the tank is to be placed in replicate chamber 1 of the 25.0% effluent concentration.

TABLE A.2. TABLE OF RANDOM NUMBERS (Dixon and Massey, 1983)

```
80 95 90 91 17
10 09 73 25 33
                76 52 01 35 86
                                 34 67 35 43 76
                                                                   39 29 27 49 45
37 54 20 48 05
                                 24 80 52 40 37
                                                                   00 82 29 16 65
                64 89 47 42 96
                                                  20 63 61 04 02
08 42 26 89 53
                19 64 50 93 03
                                 23 20 90 25 60
                                                  15 95 33 47 64
                                                                   35 08 03 36 06
99 01 90 25 29
                09 37 67 07 15
                                 38 31 13 11 65
                                                  88 67 67 43 97
                                                                   04 43 62 76 59
12 80 79 99 70
                80 15 73 61 47
                                 64 03 23 66 53
                                                  98 95 11 68 77
                                                                   12 27 17 68 33
66 06 57 47 17
                34 07 27 68 50
                                 36 69 73 61 70
                                                  65 81 33 98 85
                                                                   11 19 92 91 70
31 06 01 08 05
                45 57 18 24 06
                                 35 30 34 26 14
                                                  86 79 90 74 39
                                                                   23 40 30 97 32
85 26 97 76 02
                                                  73 05 38 52 47
                02 05 16 56 92
                                 68 66 57 48 18
                                                                   18 62 38 85 79
                05 32 54 70 48
                                 90 55 35 75 48
                                                                   83 49 12 56 24
63 57 33 21 35
                                                  28 46 82 87 09
73 79 64 57 53
                03 52 96 47 78
                                 35 80 83 42 82
                                                  60 93 52 03 44
                                                                   35 27 38 84 35
98 52 01 77 67
                14 90 56 86 07
                                 22 10 94 05 58
                                                  60 97 09 34 33
                                                                   50 50 07 39 98
                                                  29 40 52 42 01
11 80 50 54 31
                39 80 82 77 32
                                 50 72 56 82 48
                                                                   52 77 56 78 51
                                 13 74 67 00 78
                                                  18 47 54 06 10
83 45 29 96 34
                06 28 89 80 83
                                                                   68 71 17 78 17
                86 50 75 84 01
                                                  90 36 47 64 93
                                                                   29 60 91 10 62
88 68 54 02 00
                                 36 76 66 79 51
99 59 46 73 48
                87 51 76 49 69
                                 91 82 60 89 28
                                                  93 78 56 13 68
                                                                   23 47 83 41 13
65 48 11 76 74
                                 58 04 77 69 74
                                                  73 03 95 71 86
                17 46 85 09 50
                                                                   40 21 81 65 44
80 12 43 56 35
                17 72 70 80 15
                                 45 31 82 23 74
                                                  21 11 57 82 53
                                                                   14 38 55 37 63
74 35 09 98 17
                77 40 27 72 14
                                 43 23 60 02 10
                                                  45 52 16 42 37
                                                                   96 28 60 26 55
                                                                   94 40 05 64 18
69 91 62 68 03
                66 25 22 91 48
                                 36 93 68 72 03
                                                  76 62 11 39 90
09 89 32 05 05
                14 22 56 85 14
                                 46 42 75 67 88
                                                  96 29 77 88 22
                                                                   54 38 21 45 98
91 49 91 45 23
                68 47 92 76 86
                                 46 16 28 35 54
                                                  94 75 08 99 23
                                                                   37 08 92 00 48
80 33 69 45 98
                26 94 03 68 58
                                 70 29 73 41 35
                                                  53 14 03 33 40
                                                                   42 05 08 23 41
44 10 48 19 49
                85 15 74 79 54
                                 32 97 92 65 75
                                                  57 60 04 08 81
                                                                   22 22 20 64 13
12 55 07 37 42
                                 12 86 07 46 97
                                                  96 64 48 94 39
                 11 10 00 20 40
                                                                   28 70 72 58 15
63 60 64 93 29
                                 40 21 95 25 63
                                                  43 65 17 70 82
                                                                   07 20 73 17 90
                 16 50 53 44 84
61 19 69 04 46
                26 45 74 77 74
                                 51 92 43 37 29
                                                  65 39 45 95 93
                                                                   42 58 26 05 27
15 47 44 52 66
                95 27 07 99 53
                                 59 36 78 38 48
                                                  82 39 61 01 18
                                                                   33 21 15 94 66
94 55 72 85 73
                67 89 75 43 87
                                 54 62 24 44 31
                                                  91 19 04 25 92
                                                                   92 92 74 59 73
42 48 11 62 13
                97 34 40 87 21
                                 16 86 84 87 67
                                                  03 07 11 20 59
                                                                   25 70 14 66 70
23 52 37 83 17
                73 20 88 98 37
                                 68 93 59 14 16
                                                  26 25 22 96 63
                                                                   05 52 28 25 62
04 49 35 24 94
                75 24 63 38 24
                                 45 86 25 10 25
                                                  61 96 27 93 35
                                                                   65 33 71 24 72
00 54 99 76 54
                64 05 18 81 59
                                 96 11 96 38 96
                                                  54 69 28 23 91
                                                                   23 28 72 95 29
35 96 31 53 07
                26 89 80 93 45
                                                  77 97 45 00 24
                                                                   90 10 33 93 33
                                 33 35 13 54 62
                45 42 72 68 42
                                 83 60 94 97 00
                                                  13 02 12 48 92
                                                                   78 56 52 01 06
59 80 80 83 91
46 05 88 52 36
                01 39 09 22 86
                                 77 28 14 40 77
                                                  93 91 08 36 47
                                                                   70 61 74 29 41
32 17 90 05 97
                87 37 92 52 41
                                 05 56 70 70 07
                                                  86 74 31 71 57
                                                                   85 39 41 18 38
69 23 46 14 06
                20 11 74 52 04
                                 15 95 66 00 00
                                                  18 74 39 24 23
                                                                   97 11 89 63 38
                01 75 87 53 79
19 56 54 14 30
                                 40 41 92 15 85
                                                  66 67 43 68 06
                                                                   84 96 28 52 07
                                 43 66 79 45 43
                                                  59 04 79 00 33
45 15 51 49 38
                19 47 60 72 46
                                                                   20 82 66 95 41
94 86 43 19 94
                                 34 88 88 15 53
                                                  01 54 03 54 56
                36 16 81 08 51
                                                                   05 01 45 11 76
98 08 62 48 26
                                 44 99 90 88 96
                                                  39 09 47 34 07
                45 24 02 84 04
                                                                   35 44 13 18 80
33 18 51 62 32
                41 94 15 09 49
                                 89 43 54 85 81
                                                  88 69 54 19 94
                                                                   37 54 87 30 43
                                                  25 01 62 52 98
80 95 10 04 06
                96 38 27 07 74
                                 20 15 12 33 87
                                                                   94 62 46 11 71
79 75 24 91 40
                71 96 12 82 96
                                 69 86 10 25 91
                                                  74 85 22 05 39
                                                                   00 38 75 95 79
18 63 33 25 37
                                 31 01 02 46 74
                98 14 50 65 71
                                                  05 45 56 14 27
                                                                   77 93 89 19 36
74 02 94 39 02
                77 55 73 22 70
                                 97 79 01 71 19
                                                  52 52 75 80 21
                                                                   80 81 45 17 48
54 17 84 56 11
                80 99 33 71 43
                                 05 33 51 29 69
                                                  56 12 71 92 55
                                                                   36 04 09 03 24
11 66 44 98 83
                52 07 98 48 27
                                 59 38 17 15 39
                                                  09 97 33 34 40
                                                                   88 46 12 33 56
48 32 47 79 28
                                                  32 30 75 75 46
                31 24 96 47 10
                                 02 29 53 68 70
                                                                   15 02 00 99 94
69 07 49 41 38
                                 35 58 40 44 01
                                                  10 51 82 16 15
                87 63 79 19 76
                                                                  01 84 87 69 38
```

- 2.3.3 The next step is to read the double digit number to the right of the first one. The second number identifies the replicate chamber for the second fish taken from the tank. Continuing the example, the second number read in row 2 of Table A.2 is 54. According to Table A.1, this number corresponds to replicate chamber 2 of the 6.25% effluent concentration. Thus, the second fish taken from the tank is to be placed in replicate chamber 2 of the 6.25% effluent concentration.
- 2.3.4 Continue in this fashion until all the fish have been randomly assigned to a replicate chamber. In order to fill each replicate chamber with ten fish, the assigned numbers will be used more than once. If a number is read from the table that was not assigned to a replicate chamber, then ignore it and continue to the next number. If a replicate chamber becomes filled and a number is read from the table that corresponds to it, then ignore that value and continue to the next number. The first ten random assignments of fish to replicate chambers for the example are summarized in Table A.3.

TABLE A.3. EXAMPLE OF RANDOM ASSIGNMENT OF FIRST TEN FISH TO REPLICATE CHAMBERS

Fish		Assignment	
First	fish taken from tank	25.0% effluent,	replicate chamber 1
Second	fish taken from tank	6.25% effluent,	replicate chamber 2
Third	fish taken from tank	50.0% effluent,	replicate chamber 4
Fourth	fish taken from tank	100.0% effluent,	replicate chamber 4
Fifth	fish taken from tank	6.25% effluent,	replicate chamber 1
Sixth	fish taken from tank	25.0% effluent,	replicate chamber 4
Seventh	fish taken from tank	50.0% effluent,	replicate chamber 1
Eighth	fish taken from tank	100.0% effluent,	replicate chamber 3
Ninth	fish taken from tank	50.0% effluent,	replicate chamber 2
Tenth	fish taken from tank	100.0% effluent,	replicate chamber 4

2.3.5 Four double-digit numbers were assigned to each replicate chamber (instead of one, two, or three double-digit numbers) in order to make efficient use of the random number table (Table A.2). To illustrate, consider the assignment of only one double-digit number to each replicate chamber: the first column of assigned numbers in Table A.1. Whenever the numbers 00 and 25 through 99 are read from Table A.2, they will be disregarded and the next number will be read.

2.4 RANDOMIZATION OF REPLICATE CHAMBERS TO POSITIONS EXAMPLE

2.4.1 Next consider the random assignment of the 24 replicate chambers to positions within the water bath (or equivalent). Assume that the replicate chambers are to be positioned in a four row by six column rectangular array. The first step is to label the positions in the water bath. Table A.4 provides an example layout.

TABLE A.4. RANDOM ASSIGNMENT OF REPLICATE CHAMBERS TO POSITIONS: EXAMPLE LABELING THE POSITIONS WITHIN THE WATER BATH

1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24

2.4.2 The second step is to assign each of the 24 positions four double-digit numbers. An example of this assignment is provided in Table A.5. Note that the double digits 00 and 97 through 99 were not used.

TABLE A.5. RANDOM ASSIGNMENT OF REPLICATE CHAMBERS TO POSITIONS: EXAMPLE ASSIGNED NUMBERS FOR EACH POSITION

Assigned Numbers	Position
01, 25, 49, 73	1
02, 26, 50, 74	2
03, 27, 51, 75	3
04, 28, 52, 76	4
05, 29, 53, 77	5
06, 30, 54, 78	6
07, 31, 55, 79	7
08, 32, 56, 80	8
09, 33, 57, 81	9
10, 34, 58, 82	10
11, 35, 59, 83	11
12, 36, 60, 84	12
13, 37, 61, 85	13
14, 38, 62, 86	14
15, 39, 63, 87	15
16, 40, 64, 88	16
17, 41, 65, 89	17
18, 42, 66, 90	18
19, 43, 67, 91	19
20, 44, 68, 92	20
21, 45, 69, 93	21
22, 46, 70, 94	22
23, 47, 71, 95	23
24, 48, 72, 96	24

- 2.4.3 The random numbers used to carry out the random assignment of replicate chambers to positions are provided in Table A.2. The third step is to choose a starting position in Table A.2, and read the first double-digit number. The first number read identifies the position for the first replicate chamber of the control. For the example, the first entry in row 10 of Table A.2 was chosen as the starting position. The first number in this row was 73. According to Table A.5, this number corresponds to position 1. Thus, the first replicate chamber for the control will be placed in position 1.
- 2.4.4 The next step is to read the double-digit number to the right of the first one. The second number identifies the position for the second replicate chamber of the control. Continuing the example, the second number read in row 10 of Table A.2 is 79. According to Table A.5, this number corresponds to position 7. Thus, the second replicate chamber for the control will be placed in position 7.
- 2.4.5 Continue in this fashion until all the replicate chambers have been assigned to a position. The first four numbers read will identify the positions for the control replicate chambers, the second four numbers read will identify the positions for the lowest effluent concentration replicate chambers, and so on. If a number is read from the table that was not assigned to a position, then ignore that value and continue to the next number. If a number is repeated in Table A.2, then ignore the repeats and continue to the next number. The complete randomization of replicate chambers to positions for the example is displayed in Table A.6.

TABLE A.6. RANDOM ASSIGNMENT OF REPLICATE CHAMBERS TO POSITIONS: EXAMPLE ASSIGNMENT OF ALL 24 POSITIONS

Control	100.0%	6.25%	6.25%	6.25%	12.5%
Control	12.5%	Control	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%
100.0%	50.0%	100.0%	Control	100.0%	25.0%
50.0%	50.0%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	6.25%

2.4.6 Four double-digit numbers were assigned to each position (instead of one, two, or three) in order to make efficient use of the random number table (Table A.2). To illustrate, consider the assignment of only one double-digit number to each position: the first column of assigned numbers in Table A.5. Whenever the numbers 00 and 25 through 99 are read from Table A.2, they will be disregarded and the next number will be read.

3. OUTLIERS

- 3.1 An outlier is an inconsistent or questionable data point that appears unrepresentative of the general trend exhibited by the majority of the data. Outliers may be detected by tabulation of the data, plotting, and by an analysis of the residuals. An explanation should be sought for any questionable data points. Without an explanation, data points should be discarded only with extreme caution. If there is no explanation, the analysis should be performed both with and without the outlier, and the results of both analyses should be reported.
- 3.2 Gentleman-Wilk's A statistic gives a test for the condition that the extreme observation may be considered an outlier. For a discussion of this, and other techniques for evaluating outliers, see Draper and John (1981).

APPENDIX B

VALIDATING NORMALITY AND HOMOGENEITY OF VARIANCE ASSUMPTIONS

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Dunnett's Procedure and the t test with Bonferroni's adjustment are parametric procedures based on the assumptions that the observations within treatments are independent and normally distributed, and that the variance of the observations is homogeneous across all toxicant concentrations and the control. These assumptions should be checked prior to using these tests, to determine if they have been met. Tests for validating the assumptions are provided in the following discussion. If the tests fail (if the data do not meet the assumptions), a nonparametric procedure such as Steel's Many-one Rank Test may be more appropriate. However, the decision on whether to use parametric or nonparametric tests may be a judgement call, and a statistician should be consulted in selecting the analysis.

2. TEST FOR NORMAL DISTRIBUTION OF DATA

2.1 SHAPIRO-WILK'S TEST

- 2.1.1 One formal test for normality is the Shapiro-Wilk's Test (Conover, 1980). The test statistic is obtained by dividing the square of an appropriate linear combination of the sample order statistics by the usual symmetric estimate of variance. The calculated W must be greater than zero and less than or equal to one. This test is recommended for a sample size of 50 or less. If the sample size is greater than 50, the Kolmogorov "D" statistic (Stephens, 1974) is recommended. An example of the Shapiro-Wilk's test is provided below.
- 2.2 The example uses growth data from the Sheepshead Minnow Larval Survival and Growth Test. The same data are used in the discussion of the homogeneity of variance determination in Paragraph 3 and Dunnett's Procedure in Appendix C. The data, the mean and variance of the observations at each concentration, including the control, are listed in Table B.1.

TABLE B.1. SHEEPSHEAD MINNOW, *CYPRINODON VARIEGATUS*, LARVAL GROWTH DATA (WEIGHT IN MG) FOR THE SHAPIRO-WILK'S TEST

	-				
Replicate	Control	6.25	12.5	25.0	50.0
1	1.017	1.157	0.998	0.837	0.715
2	0.745	0.914	0.793	0.935	0.907
3	0.862	0.992	1.021	0.839	1.044
$Mean(Y_i)$ S_i^2	0.875 0.019	1.021 0.015	0.937 0.016	0.882 0.0031	0.889 0.027
i	1	2	3	4	5

2.3 The first step of the test for normality is to center the observations by subtracting the mean of all observations within a concentration from each observation in that concentration. The centered observations are listed in Table B.2.

TABLE B.2. EXAMPLE OF SHAPIRO-WILK'S TEST: CENTERED OBSERVATIONS

		Effluent Concentration (%)				
Replicate	Control	6.25	12.5	25.0	50.0	
1	0.142	0.136	0.061	- 0.009	- 0.174	
2	- 0.130	- 0.107	- 0.144	0.053	0.018	
3	- 0.013	- 0.029	0.084	- 0.043	0.155	

2.4 Calculate the denominator, D, of the test statistic:

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2$$

Where: X_i = the centered observations, \overline{X} is the overall mean of the centered observations, and n is the total number of the centered observations. For this set of data, \overline{X} = 0, and D = 0.1589.

2.4.1 For this set of data,

$$n = 15$$
 $\overline{X} = 1/50 (0) = 0.0$
 $D = 0.1589$

2.5 Order the centered observations from smallest to largest,

$$X^{(i)} \ \leq \ X^{(2)} \leq \ldots \leq \ X^{(n)}$$

where $X^{(i)}$ denote the ith order statistic. The ordered observations are listed in Table B.3.

TABLE B.3. EXAMPLE OF THE SHAPIRO-WILK'S TEST: ORDERED OBSERVATIONS

i	$\mathbf{X}^{(\mathrm{i})}$	
1	- 0.174	
2	- 0.144	
3	- 0.130	
4	- 0.107	
5	- 0.043	
6	- 0.029	
7	- 0.013	
8	- 0.009	
9	0.018	
10	0.053	
11	0.061	
12	0.084	
13	0.136	
14	0.142	
15	0.155	

- 2.6 From Table B.4,for the number of observations, n, obtain the coefficients a_1 , a_2 , ..., a_k , where k is n/2 if n is even, and (n-1)/2 if n is odd. For the data in this example, n = 15, k = 7, and the a_i values are listed in Table B.5. The differences, $X^{(n-i+1)}$ $X^{(i)}$, are listed in Table B.5.
- 2.7 Compute the test statistic, W, as follows:

$$W = \frac{1}{D} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i (X^{(n-i+1)} - X^{(i)}) \right]^2$$

TABLE B.4. COEFFICIENTS FOR THE SHAPIRO-WILK'S TEST (Conover, 1980)

\					Nu	mber of Obs	servations			
\n	2	3	4	5	6		7	8	9	10
l	0.7071	0.7071	0.6872	0.6646	5 0.	.6431	0.6233	0.6052	0.5888	0.5739
2	-	0.0000	0.1667	0.2413	3 0.	.2806	0.3031	0.3164	0.3244	0.3291
3	-	-	-	0.0000	0	.0875	0.1401	0.1743	0.1976	0.2141
1	-	-	-	-	-		0.0000	0.0561	0.0947	0.1224
5	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	0.0000	0.0399
					Nur	nber of Obs	ervations			
\n	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
	0.5601	0.5475	0.5359	0.5251	0.5150	0.5056	0.4968	0.4886	0.4808	0.4734
2	0.3315	0.3325	0.3325	0.3318	0.3306	0.3209	0.3273	0.3253	0.3232	0.3211
3	0.2260	0.2347	0.2412	0.2460	0.2495	0.2521	0.2540	0.2553	0.2561	0.2565
1	0.1429	0.1586	0.1707	0.1802	0.1878	0.1939	0.1988	0.2027	0.2059	0.2085
5	0.0695	0.0922	0.1099	0.1240	0.1353	0.1447	0.1524	0.1587	0.1641	0.1686
6	0.0000	0.0303	0.0539	0.0727	0.0880	0.1005	0.1109	0.1197	0.1271	0.1334
7	-	-	0.0000	0.0240	0.0433	0.0593	0.0725	0.0837	0.0932	0.1013
3	-	-	_	-	0.0000	0.0196	0.0359	0.0496	0.0612	0.0711
)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0000	0.0163	0.0303	0.0422
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0000	0.0140
					Nu	mber of Obs	servations			
\n	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
	0.4643	0.4590	0.4542	0.4493	0.4450	0.4407	0.4366	0.4328	0.4291	0.4254
2	0.3185	0.3156	0.3126	0.3098	0.3069	0.3043	0.3018	0.2992	0.2968	0.2944
3	0.2578	0.2571	0.2563	0.2554	0.2543	0.2533	0.2522	0.2510	0.2499	0.2487
1	0.2119	0.2131	0.2139	0.2145	0.2148	0.2151	0.2152	0.2151	0.2150	0.2148
5	0.1736	0.1764	0.1787	0.1807	0.1822	0.1836	0.1848	0.1857	0.1864	0.1870
6	0.1399	0.1443	0.1480	0.1512	0.1539	0.1563	0.1584	0.1601	0.1616	0.1630
7	0.1092	0.1150	0.1201	0.1245	0.1283	0.1316	0.1346	0.1372	0.1395	0.1415
3	0.0804	0.0878	0.0941	0.0997	0.1046	0.1089	0.1128	0.1162	0.1192	0.1219
)	0.0530	0.0618	0.0696	0.0764	0.0923	0.0876	0.0923	0.0965	0.1002	0.1036
0	0.0263	0.0368	0.0459	0.0539	0.0610	0.0672	0.0728	0.0778	0.0822	0.0862
1	0.0000	0.0122	0.0228	0.0321	0.0403	0.0476	0.0540	0.0598	0.0650	0.0697
2	-	-	0.0000	0.0107	0.0200	0.0284	0.0358	0.0424	0.0483	0.0537
3	-	-	-	-	0.0000	0.0094	0.0178	0.0253	0.0320	0.0381
4	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0000	0.0084	0.0159	0.0227
5			_	_	_	-	_	_	0.0000	0.0076

TABLE B.4. COEFFICIENTS FOR THE SHAPIRO-WILK'S TEST (CONTINUED)

						2.01				
. \ ,	2.1	22	22	2.4		of Observa		20	20	40
i \n	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
	0.4000	0.4100	0.4156	0.4107	0.4006	0.4060	0.4040	0.401.5	0.2000	0.2064
1	0.4220	0.4188	0.4156	0.4127	0.4096	0.4068	0.4040	0.4015	0.3989	0.3964
2	0.2921	0.2898	0.2876	0.2854	0.2834	0.2813	0.2794	0.2774	0.2755	0.2737
3	0.2475	0.2462	0.2451	0.2439	0.2427	0.2415	0.2403	0.2391	0.2380	0.2368
4	0.2145	0.2141	0.2137	0.2132	0.2127	0.2121	0.2116	0.2110	0.2104	0.2098
5	0.1874	0.1878	0.1880	0.1882	0.1883	0.1883	0.1883	0.1881	0.1880	0.1878
6	0.1641	0.1651	0.1660	0.1667	0.1673	0.1678	0.1683	0.1686	0.1689	0.1691
7	0.1433	0.1449	0.1463	0.1475	0.1487	0.1496	0.1505	0.1513	0.1520	0.1526
8	0.1243	0.1265	0.1284	0.1301	0.1317	0.1331	0.1344	0.1356	0.1366	0.1376
9	0.1066	0.1093	0.1118	0.1140	0.1160	0.1179	0.1196	0.1211	0.1225	0.1237
10	0.0899	0.0931	0.0961	0.0988	0.1013	0.1036	0.1056	0.1075	0.1092	0.1108
11	0.0739	0.0777	0.0812	0.0844	0.0873	0.0900	0.0924	0.0947	0.0967	0.0986
12	0.0585	0.0629	0.0669	0.0706	0.0739	0.0770	0.0798	0.0824	0.0848	0.0870
13	0.0435	0.0485	0.0530	0.0572	0.0610	0.0645	0.0677	0.0706	0.0733	0.0759
14	0.0289	0.0344	0.0395	0.0441	0.0484	0.0523	0.0559	0.0592	0.0622	0.0651
15	0.0144	0.0206	0.0262	0.0314	0.0361	0.0404	0.0444	0.0481	0.0515	0.0546
16	0.0000	0.0068	0.0131	0.0187	0.0239	0.0287	0.0331	0.0372	0.0409	0.0444
17	-	-	0.0000	0.0062	0.0119	0.0172	0.0220	0.0264	0.0305	0.0343
18	-	-	-	-	0.0000	0.0057	0.0110	0.0158	0.0203	0.0244
19	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0000	0.0053	0.0101	0.0146
20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0000	0.0049
\					Number	of Observa	ntions			
i \n	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50
1	0.3940	0.3917	0.3894	0.3872	0.3850	0.3830	0.3808	0.3789	0.3770	0.3751
2	0.2719	0.2701	0.2684	0.2667	0.2651	0.2635	0.2620	0.2604	0.2589	0.2574
3	0.2357	0.2345	0.2334	0.2323	0.2313	0.2302	0.2291	0.2281	0.2271	0.2260
4	0.2091	0.2085	0.2078	0.2072	0.2065	0.2058	0.2052	0.2045	0.2038	0.2032
5	0.1876	0.1874	0.1871	0.1868	0.1865	0.1862	0.1859	0.1855	0.1851	0.1847
6	0.1693	0.1694	0.1695	0.1695	0.1695	0.1695	0.1695	0.1693	0.1692	0.1691
7	0.1531	0.1535	0.1539	0.1542	0.1545	0.1548	0.1550	0.1551	0.1553	0.1554
8	0.1384	0.1392	0.1398	0.1405	0.1410	0.1415	0.1420	0.1423	0.1427	0.1430
9	0.1249	0.1259	0.1269	0.1278	0.1286	0.1293	0.1300	0.1306	0.1312	0.1317
10	0.1123	0.1136	0.1149	0.1160	0.1170	0.1180	0.1189	0.1197	0.1205	0.1212
11	0.1004	0.1020	0.1035	0.1049	0.1062	0.1073	0.1085	0.1095	0.1105	0.1113
12	0.0891	0.0909	0.0927	0.0943	0.0959	0.0972	0.0986	0.0998	0.1010	0.1020
13	0.0782	0.0804	0.0824	0.0842	0.0860	0.0876	0.0892	0.0906	0.0919	0.0932
14	0.0677	0.0701	0.0724	0.0745	0.0765	0.0783	0.0801	0.0817	0.0832	0.0846
15	0.0575	0.0602	0.0628	0.0651	0.0673	0.0694	0.0713	0.0731	0.0748	0.0764
16	0.0476	0.0506	0.0534	0.0560	0.0584	0.0607	0.0628	0.0648	0.0667	0.0685
17	0.0379	0.0411	0.0442	0.0471	0.0497	0.0522	0.0546	0.0568	0.0588	0.0608
18	0.0283	0.0318	0.0352	0.0383	0.0412	0.0439	0.0465	0.0489	0.0511	0.0532
19	0.0188	0.0227	0.0263	0.0296	0.0328	0.0357	0.0385	0.0411	0.0436	0.0459
20	0.0094	0.0136	0.0175	0.0211	0.0245	0.0277	0.0307	0.0335	0.0361	0.0386
21	0.0000	0.0045	0.0087	0.0126	0.0163	0.0197	0.0229	0.0259	0.0288	0.0314
22	-	-	0.0000	0.0042	0.0081	0.0118	0.0153	0.0185	0.0215	0.0244
23	_	-	-	-	0.0000	0.0039	0.0076	0.0111	0.0143	0.0174
24	_	_	_	_	-	-	0.0000	0.0037	0.0071	0.0104
25	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0000	0.0035

TABLE B.5. EXAMPLE OF THE SHAPIRO-WILK'S TEST: TABLE OF COEFFICIENTS AND DIFFERENCES

i	a_{i}	$X^{(n-i+1)} - X^{(i)}$	
1	0.4734	0.181	$X^{(20)}$ - $X^{(1)}$
2	0.3211	0.128	$X^{(19)}$ - $X^{(2)}$
3	0.2565	0.105	$X^{(18)}$ - $X^{(3)}$
4	0.2085	0.097	$X^{(17)}$ - $X^{(4)}$
5	0.1686	0.076	$X^{(16)}$ - $X^{(5)}$
6	0.1334	0.048	$X^{(15)}$ - $X^{(6)}$
7	0.1013	0.034	$X^{(14)}$ - $X^{(7)}$
8	0.0711	0.025	$X^{(13)}$ - $X^{(8)}$
9	0.0422	0.008	$X^{(12)}$ - $X^{(9)}$
10	0.0140	0.005	$X^{(11)}$ - $X^{(10)}$

TABLE B.6. QUANTILES OF THE SHAPIRO WILK'S TEST STATISTIC (Conover, 1980)

n	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.10	0.50	0.90	0.95	0.98	0.99
3	0.753	0.756	0.767	0.789	0.959	0.998	0.999	1.000	1.000
4	0.687	0.707	0.748	0.792	0.935	0.987	0.992	0.996	0.997
5	0.686	0.715	0.762	0.806	0.927	0.979	0.986	0.991	0.993
6	0.713	0.743	0.788	0.826	0.927	0.974	0.981	0.986	0.989
7	0.730	0.760	0.803	0.838	0.928	0.972	0.979	0.985	0.988
8	0.749	0.778	0.818	0.851	0.932	0.972	0.978	0.984	0.987
9	0.764	0.791	0.829	0.859	0.935	0.972	0.978	0.984	0.986
10	0.781	0.806	0.842	0.869	0.938	0.972	0.978	0.983	0.986
11	0.792	0.817	0.850	0.876	0.940	0.973	0.979	0.984	0.986
12	0.805	0.828	0.859	0.883	0.943	0.973	0.979	0.984	0.986
13	0.814	0.837	0.866	0.889	0.945	0.974	0.979	0.984	0.986
14	0.825	0.846	0.874	0.895	0.947	0.975	0.980	0.984	0.986
15	0.835	0.855	0.881	0.901	0.950	0.975	0.980	0.984	0.987
16	0.844	0.863	0.887	0.906	0.952	0.976	0.981	0.985	0.987
17	0.851	0.869	0.892	0.910	0.954	0.977	0.981	0.985	0.987
18	0.858	0.874	0.897	0.914	0.956	0.978	0.982	0.986	0.988
19	0.863	0.879	0.901	0.917	0.957	0.978	0.982	0.986	0.988
20	0.868	0.884	0.905	0.920	0.959	0.979	0.983	0.986	0.988
21	0.873	0.888	0.908	0.923	0.960	0.980	0.983	0.987	0.989
22	0.878	0.892	0.911	0.926	0.961	0.980	0.984	0.987	0.989
23	0.881	0.895	0.914	0.928	0.962	0.981	0.984	0.987	0.989
24	0.884	0.898	0.916	0.930	0.963	0.981	0.984	0.987	0.989
25	0.888	0.901	0.918	0.931	0.964	0.981	0.985	0.988	0.989
26	0.891	0.904	0.920	0.933	0.965	0.982	0.985	0.988	0.989
27	0.894	0.906	0.923	0.935	0.965	0.982	0.985	0.988	0.990
28	0.896	0.908	0.924	0.936	0.966	0.982	0.985	0.988	0.990
29	0.898	0.910	0.926	0.937	0.966	0.982	0.985	0.988	0.990
30	0.900	0.912	0.927	0.939	0.967	0.983	0.985	0.988	0.990
31	0.902	0.914	0.929	0.940	0.967	0.983	0.986	0.988	0.990
32	0.904	0.915	0.930	0.941	0.968	0.983	0.986	0.988	0.990
33	0.906	0.917	0.931	0.942	0.968	0.983	0.986	0.989	0.990
34	0.908	0.919	0.933	0.943	0.969	0.983	0.986	0.989	0.990
35	0.910	0.920	0.934	0.944	0.969	0.984	0.986	0.989	0.990
36	0.912	0.922	0.935	0.945	0.970	0.984	0.986	0.989	0.990
37	0.914	0.924	0.936	0.946	0.970	0.984	0.987	0.989	0.990
38	0.916	0.925	0.938	0.947	0.971	0.984	0.987	0.989	0.990
39	0.917	0.927	0.939	0.948	0.971	0.984	0.987	0.989	0.991
40	0.919	0.928	0.940	0.949	0.972	0.985	0.987	0.989	0.991
41	0.920	0.929	0.941	0.950	0.972	0.985	0.987	0.989	0.991
42	0.922	0.930	0.942	0.951	0.972	0.985	0.987	0.989	0.991
43	0.923	0.932	0.943	0.951	0.973	0.985	0.987	0.990	0.991
44	0.924	0.933	0.944	0.952	0.973	0.985	0.987	0.990	0.991
45	0.926	0.934	0.945	0.953	0.973	0.985	0.988	0.990	0.991
46	0.927	0.935	0.945	0.953	0.974	0.985	0.988	0.990	0.991
47	0.928	0.936	0.946	0.954	0.974	0.985	0.988	0.990	0.991
48	0.929	0.937	0.947	0.954	0.974	0.985	0.988	0.990	0.991
49	0.929	0.937	0.947	0.955	0.974	0.985	0.988	0.990	0.991
50	0.930	0.938	0.947	0.955	0.974	0.985	0.988	0.990	0.991

- 2.8 The decision rule for this test is to compare the critical value from Table B.6 to the computed W. If the computed value is less than the critical value, conclude that the data are not normally distributed. For this example, the critical value at a significance level of 0.01 and 15 observations (n) is 0.835. The calculated value, 0.9516, is not less than the critical value. Therefore conclude that the data are normally distributed.
- 2.9 In general, if the data fail the test for normality, a transformation such as to log values may normalize the data. After transforming the data, repeat the Shapiro Wilk's Test for normality.

3. TEST FOR HOMOGENEITY OF VARIANCE

- 3.1 For Dunnett's Procedure and the t test with Bonferroni's adjustment, the variances of the data obtained from each toxicant concentration and the control are assumed to be equal. Bartlett's Test is a formal test of this assumption. In using this test, it is assumed that the data are normally distributed.
- 3.2 The data used in this example are growth data from a Sheepshead Minnow Larval Survival and Growth Test, and are the same data used in Appendices C and D. These data are listed in Table B.7, together with the calculated variance for the control and each toxicant concentration.
- 3.3 The test statistic for Bartlett's Test (Snedecor and Cochran, 1980) is as follows:

$$B = \frac{\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{P} V_{i}\right) \ln \overline{S}^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{P} V_{i} \ln S_{i}^{2}\right]}{C}$$

Where: $V_i =$ degrees of freedom for each effluent concentration and control, $(V_i = n_i - 1)$

p = number of levels of toxicant concentration including the control

 $ln = log_e$

i = 1, 2, ..., p where p is the number of concentrations including the control

 n_i = the number of replicates for concentration i.

$$\overline{S}^2 = \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^P V_i S_i^2)}{\sum_{i=1}^P V_i}$$

$$C = 1 + [3(p-1)]^{-1} [\sum_{i=1}^{P} 1/V_i - (\sum_{i=1}^{P} V_i)^{-1}]$$

TABLE B.7. SHEEPSHEAD MINNOW, CYPRINODON VARIEGATUS, LARVAL GROWTH DATA (WEIGHT IN MG) USED FOR BARTLETT'S TEST FOR HOMOGENEITY OF VARIANCE

	Effluent Concentration (%)									
Replicate	Control	6.25	12.5	25.0	50.0					
1	1.017	1 157	0.998	0.873	0.715					
2	0.745	1.157 0.914	0.793	0.873	0.713					
3	0.862	0.992	1.021	0.839	1.044					
Mean	0.875	1.021	0.937	0.882	0.889					
S_i^2	0.019	0.015	0.016	0.0024	0.027					
i	1	2	3	4	5					

- 3.4 Since B is approximately distributed as chi-square with p 1 degrees of freedom when the variances are equal, the appropriate critical value is obtained from a table of the chi-square distribution for p 1 degrees of freedom and a significance level of 0.01. If B is less than the critical value then the variances are assumed to be equal.
- 3.5 For the data in this example, $V_i = 2$, p = 5, $\overline{S}^2 = 0.0158$, and C = 1.2. The calculated B value is:

$$B = \frac{2[5(\ln 0.0158) - \sum_{i} \ln(S_i^2)]}{1.2}$$

$$= \frac{2[5(-4.1477) - (-22.1247)]}{1.2}$$

= 2.3103

3.6 Since B is approximately distributed as chi-square with p - 1 degrees of freedom when the variances are equal, the appropriate critical value for the test is 13.3 for a significance level of 0.01. Since B is less than 13.3, the conclusion is that the variances are not different.

4. TRANSFORMATIONS OF THE DATA

- 4.1 When the assumptions of normality and/or homogeneity of variance are not met, transformations of the data may remedy the problem, so that the data can be analyzed by parametric procedures, rather than nonparametric technique such as Steel's Many-one Rank Test or Wilcoxon's Rank Sum Test. Examples of transformations include log, square root, arc sine square root, and reciprocals. After the data have been transformed, the Shapiro-Wilk's and Bartlett's tests should be performed on the transformed observations to determine whether the assumptions of normality and/or homogeneity of variance are met.
- 4.2 ARC SINE SQUARE ROOT TRANSFORMATION (USEPA, 1993).
- 4.2.1 For data consisting of proportions from a binomial (response/no response; live/dead) response variable, the variance within the ith treatment is proportional to P_i (1 P_i), where P_i is the expected proportion for the treatment. This clearly violates the homogeneity of variance assumption required by parametric procedures such as Dunnett's Procedure or the t test with Bonferroni's adjustment, since the existence of a treatment effect implies different values of P_i for different treatments, i. Also, when the observed proportions are based on small samples, or when P_i is close to zero or one, the normality assumption may be invalid. The arc sine square root (arc sine \sqrt{P}) transformation is commonly used for such data to stabilize the variance and satisfy the normality requirement.
- 4.2.2 Arc sine transformation consists of determining the angle (in radians) represented by a sine value. In the case of arc sine square root transformation of mortality data, the proportion of dead (or affected) organisms is taken as the sine value, the square root of the sine value is determined, and the angle (in radians) for the square root of the sine value is determined. Whenever the proportion dead is 0 or 1, a special modification of the arc sine square root transformation must be used (Bartlett, 1937). An explanation of the arc sine square root transformation and the modification is provided below.
- 4.2.3 Calculate the response proportion (RP) at each effluent concentration, where:

RP = (number of surviving or unaffected organisms)/(number exposed).

Example: If 12 of 20 animals in a given treatment replicate survive:

$$RP = 12/20$$

= 0.60

- 4.2.4 Transform each RP to its arc sine square root, as follows:
- 4.2.4.1 For RPs greater than zero or less than one:

Angle (radians) = arc sine
$$\sqrt{RP}$$

Example: If RP = 0.60:

Angle = arc sine
$$\sqrt{0.60}$$

= arc sine 0.7746
= 0.8861 radians

4.2.4.2 Modification of the arc sine square root when RP = 0.

Angle (in radians) = arc sine
$$\sqrt{1/4N}$$

Where: N = Number of animals/treatment replicate

Example: If 20 animals are used:

Angle = arc sine
$$\sqrt{1/80}$$

= arc sine 0.1118

4.2.4.3 Modification of the arc sine square root when RP = 1

= 0.1120 radians

Angle =
$$1.5708$$
 radians - (radians for RP = 0)

Example: Using above value:

APPENDIX C

DUNNETT'S PROCEDURE

1. MANUAL CALCULATIONS

- 1.1 Dunnett's Procedure (Dunnett, 1955; Dunnett, 1964) is used to compare each concentration mean with the control mean to decide if any of the concentrations differ from the control. This test has an overall error rate of alpha, which accounts for the multiple comparisons with the control. It is based on the assumptions that the observations are independent and normally distributed and that the variance of the observations is homogeneous across all concentrations and control. (See Appendix B for a discussion on validating the assumptions). Dunnett's Procedure uses a pooled estimate of the variance, which is equal to the error value calculated in an analysis of variance. Dunnett's Procedure can only be used when the same number of replicate test vessels have been used at each concentration and the control. When this condition is not met, the t test with Bonferroni's adjustment is used (see Appendix D).
- 1.2 The data used in this example are growth data from a Sheepshead Minnow Larval Survival and Growth Test, and are the same data used in Appendices B and D. These data are listed in Table C.1.

TABLE C.1. SHEEPSHEAD MINNOW, CYPRINODON VARIEGATUS, LARVAL GROWTH DATA (WEIGHT IN MG) USED FOR DUNNETT'S PROCEDURE

Effluent		Replicat	e Test Ves	<u>sel</u>	Total	Mean
Conc (%)	i	1	2	3	(T_i)	(\overline{Y}_i)
Control	1	1.017	0.745	0.862	2.624	0.875
6.25	2	1.157	0.914	0.992	3.063	1.021
12.5	3	0.998	0.793	1.021	2.812	0.937
25.0	4	0.873	0.935	0.839	2.647	0.882
50.0	5	0.715	0.907	1.044	2.666	0.889

1.3 One way to obtain an estimate of the pooled variance is to construct an ANOVA table including all sums of squares, using the following formulas:

Where: p = number of effluent concentrations including the control:

N = the total sample size;
$$N = \sum_{i} n_{i}$$

n_i = the number of replicates for concentration "i"

$$SST = \sum_{ij} Y_{ij}^2 - G^2/N$$
 Total Sum of Squares

$$SSB = \sum_{i} T_{i}^{2}/n_{i} - G^{2}/N$$
 Between Sum of Squares

G = the grand total of all sample observations;
$$G = \sum_{i=1}^{P} T_i$$

 T_i = the total of the replicate measurements for concentration i

N = the total sample size;
$$N = \sum_{i} n_{i}$$

 n_i = the number of replicates for concentration i

 Y_{ij} = the jth observation for concentration i

1.4 For the data in this example:

$$n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = n_4 = n_5 = 3$$

$$N = 20$$

$$\begin{split} T_1 &= Y_{11} + Y_{12} + Y_{13} = 2.624 \\ T_2 &= Y_{21} + Y_{22} + Y_{23} = 3.063 \\ T_3 &= Y_{31} + Y_{32} + Y_{33} = 2.812 \\ T_4 &= Y_{41} + Y_{42} + Y_{43} = 2.647 \\ T_5 &= Y_{51} + Y_{52} + Y_{53} = 2.666 \end{split}$$

$$T_2 = Y_{21} + Y_{22} + Y_{23} = 3.063$$

$$\mathbf{1}_{3} - \mathbf{1}_{31} + \mathbf{1}_{32} + \mathbf{1}_{33} - 2.612$$

$$\mathbf{1}_4 - \mathbf{1}_{41} + \mathbf{1}_{42} + \mathbf{1}_{43} - 2.047$$

$$G = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4 + T_5 = 13.812$$

$$SST = \sum_{ij} Y_{ij}^2 - G^2/N$$

$$= 12.922 - (13.812)^2/15$$

$$= 0.204$$

$$= 12.763 - (13.812)^2/15$$

$$= 0.045$$

$$SSW = SST - SSB$$

$$= 0.204 - 0.045$$

1.5 Summarize these data in the ANOVA table (Table C.2).

TABLE C.2. ANOVA TABLE FOR DUNNETT'S PROCEDURE

Source	df	Sum of Squares (SS)	Mean Square (MS) (SS/df)
Between	p - 1	SSB	$S_B^2 = SSB/(p-1)$
Within	N - p	SSW	$S_W^2 = SSW/(N-p)$
Total	N - 1	SST	

1.6 Summarize data for ANOVA (Table C.3).

TABLE C.3. COMPLETED ANOVA TABLE FOR DUNNETT'S PROCEDURE

Source	df	SS	Mean Square
Between	5 - 1 = 4	0.045	0.011
Within	15 - 5 = 10	0.159	0.016
Total	14	0.204	

1.7 To perform the individual comparisons, calculate the t statistic for each concentration and control combination, as follows:

$$t_i = \frac{(\overline{Y}_1 - \overline{Y}_i)}{S_w \sqrt{(1/n_1) + (1/n_i)}}$$

Where: \overline{Y}_i = mean for each concentration i.

 $\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_1$ = mean for the control

 S_w = square root of the within mean square

 n_1 = number of replicates in the control.

 n_i = number of replicates for concentration i.

1.8 Table C.4 includes the calculated t values for each concentration and control combination.

TABLE C.4. CALCULATED T VALUES

Effluent Concentration (%)	n i	t _i	
6.25	2	- 1.414	
12.5	3	- 0.600	
25.0	4	- 0.068	
50.0	5	- 0.136	

1.9 Since the purpose of the test is only to detect a decrease in growth from the control, a one-sided test is appropriate. The critical value for the one-sided comparison (2.47), with an overall alpha level of 0.05, 10 degrees of freedom and four concentrations excluding the control is read from the table of Dunnett's "T" values (Table C.5; this table assumes an equal number of replicates in all treatment concentrations and the control). Comparing each of the calculated t values in Table C.4 with the critical value, no decreases in growth from the control were detected. Thus the NOEC is 50.0%.

1.10 To quantify the sensitivity of the test, the minimum significant difference (MSD) may be calculated. The formula is as follows:

$$MSD = d S_w \sqrt{(1/n_1) + (1/n)}$$

Where: d = critical value for the Dunnett's Procedure

 S_w = the square root of the within mean square

n = the number of replicates at each concentration, assuming an equal number of replicates at all treatment concentrations

 n_1 = number of replicates in the control

For example:

$$MSD = 2.47(0.126)[\sqrt{(1/3) + (1/3)}] = 2.47(0.126)(\sqrt{2/3})$$
$$= 2.47 (0.126)(0.816)$$
$$= 0.254$$

TABLE C.5. DUNNETT'S "T" VALUES (Miller, 1981)

								-	(One-tailed) $d^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{k}}$	t"k								
v k					$\alpha = .05$									$\alpha = 0.1$				
	1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	9
5	2.02	2.44	2.58	2.85	2.98	3.08	3.16	3.24	3.30	3.37	3.90	4.21	4.43	4.50	4.73	4.85	4.94	5.03
9	1.94	2.34	2.56	2.71	2.83	2.92	3.00	3.07	3.12	3.14	3.61	4.88	4.07	4.21	4.33	4.43	4.51	4.39
7	1.89	2.27	2.48	2.62	2.73	2.82	2.89	2.95	3.01	3.00	3.42	3.56	3.83	3.96	4.07	4.15	4.23	4.30
8	1.86	2.22	2.42	2.55	2.66	2.74	2.81	2.87	2.92	2.90	3.20	3.51	3.67	3.79	3.88	3.96	4.03	4.09
6	1.83	2.18	2.37	2.50	2.60	2.68	2.75	2.81	2.86	2.82	3.19	3.40	3.55	3.64	3.75	3.82	3.89	3.94
10	1.81	2.15	2.34	2.47	2.56	2.64	2.70	2.76	2.81	2.76	3.11	3.31	3.45	3.56	3.64	3.71	3.78	3.83
11	1.80	2.13	2.31	2.44	2.53	2.60	2.67	2.72	2.77	2.72	3.06	3.25	3.38	3.46	3.56	3.63	3.69	3.74
12	1.78	2.11	2.29	2.41	2.50	2.58	2.64	2.69	2.74	2.68	3.01	3.19	3.32	3.42	3.50	3.56	3.62	3.67
13	1.77	2.09	2.27	2.39	2.48	2.55	2.61	2.68	2.71	2.65	2.97	3.15	3.27	3.37	3.44	3.91	3.56	3.61
14	1.76	2.08	2.25	2.37	2.46	2.53	2.59	2.64	2.69	2.62	2.94	3.11	3.23	3.32	3.40	3.46	3.51	3.56
15	1.75	2.07	2.24	2.36	2.44	2.51	2.57	2.62	2.67	2.60	2.91	3.08	3.20	3.29	3.36	3.42	3.47	3.52
16	1.75	2.06	2.23	2.34	2.43	2.50	2.56	2.61	2.65	2.58	2.38	3.05	3.17	3.28	3.33	3.39	3.44	3.48
17	1.74	2.05	2.22	2.33	2.42	2.49	2.54	2.59	2.64	2.57	2.86	3.03	3.14	3.23	3.30	3.36	3.41	3.45
18	1.73	2.04	2.21	2.32	2.41	2.48	2.53	2.58	2.62	2.55	2.84	3.01	3.12	3.21	3.27	3.33	3.38	3.42
19	1.73	2.03	2.20	2.31	2.40	2.47	2.52	2.57	2.61	2.54	2.83	2.99	3.10	3.18	3.25	3.31	3.36	3.40
20	1.72	2.03	2.19	2.30	2.38	2.46	2.51	2.56	2.60	2.53	2.81	2.97	3.08	3.17	3.23	3.29	3.34	3.38
24	1.71	2.01	2.17	2.28	2.36	2.43	2.48	2.53	2.57	2.40	2.77	2.92	3.03	3.11	3.17	3.22	3.27	3.31
30	1.70	1.99	2.15	2.25	2.33	2.40	2.45	2.50	2.54	2.46	2.72	2.87	2.97	3.05	3.11	3.16	3.21	3.24
40	1.68	1.97	2.13	2.23	2.31	2.37	2.42	2.47	2.51	2.42	2.68	2.82	2.92	2.99	3.06	3.10	3.14	3.18
09	1.67	1.95	2.10	2.21	2.28	2.35	2.39	2.44	2.48	2.39	2.64	2.78	2.87	2.94	3.08	3.04	3.06	3.12
120	1.86	1.93	2.08	2.18	2.26	2.32	2.37	2.41	2.45	2.36	2.60	2.73	2.82	2.90	2.94	2.90	3.03	3.06
α	1.64	1.92	2.06	2.16	2.23	2.29	2.34	2.33	2.42	2.33	2.56	2.68	2.77	2.34	2.90	2.83	2.97	3.00

- 1.11 For this set of data, the minimum difference between the control mean and a concentration mean that can be detected as statistically significant is 0.254 mg. This represents a decrease in growth of 29% from the control.
- 1.11.1 If the data have not been transformed, the MSD (and the percent decrease from the control mean that it represents) can be reported as is.
- 1.11.2 In the case where the data have been transformed, the MSD would be in transformed units. In this case carry out the following conversion to determine the MSD in untransformed units.
- 1.11.2.1 Subtract the MSD from the transformed control mean. Call this difference D. Next, obtain untransformed values for the control mean and the difference, D.

$$MSD_{ij} = control_{ij} - D_{ij}$$

Where: MSD_{ij} = the minimum significant difference for untransformed data

 $Control_{ij}$ = the untransformed control mean

 D_{ij} = the untransformed difference

1.11.2.2 Calculate the percent reduction from the control that MSD_u represents as:

Percent Reduction =
$$\frac{MSD_u}{Control_u} \times 100$$

- 1.11.3 An example of a conversion of the MSD to untransformed units, when the arc sine square root transformation was used on the data, follows.
 - Step 1. Subtract the MSD from the transformed control mean. As an example, assume the data in Table C.1 were transformed by the arc sine square root transformation. Thus:

$$0.875 - 0.254 = 0.621$$

Step 2. Obtain untransformed values for the control mean (0.875) and the difference (0.621) obtained in Step 1, above.

$$[Sine (0.875)]^2 = 0.589$$

[Sine
$$(0.621)$$
]² = 0.339

Step 3. The untransformed MSD (MSD_u) is determined by subtracting the untransformed values obtained in Step 2.

$$MSD_u = 0.589 - 0.339 = 0.250$$

In this case, the MSD would represent a 42% decrease in survival from the control [(0.250/0.589)(100)].

2. COMPUTER CALCULATIONS

- 2.1 This computer program incorporates two analyses: an analysis of variance (ANOVA), and a multiple comparison of treatment means with the control mean (Dunnett's Procedure). The ANOVA is used to obtain the error value. Dunnett's Procedure indicates which toxicant concentration means (if any) are statistically different from the control mean at the 5% level of significance. The program also provides the minimum difference between the control and treatment means that could be detected as statistically significant, and tests the validity of the homogeneity of variance assumption by Bartlett's Test. The multiple comparison is performed based on procedures described by Dunnett (1955).
- 2.2 The source code for the Dunnett's program is structured into a series of subroutines, controlled by a driver routine. Each subroutine has a specific function in the Dunnett's Procedure, such as data input, transforming the data, testing for equality of variances, computing p values, and calculating the one-way analysis of variance.
- 2.3 The program compares up to seven toxicant concentrations against the control, and can accommodate up to 50 replicates per concentration.
- 2.4 If the number of replicates at each toxicant concentration and control are not equal, at test with the Bonferroni adjustment is performed instead of Dunnett's Procedure (see Appendix D).
- 2.5 The program was written in IBM-PC FORTRAN by Computer Sciences Corporation, 26 W. Martin Luther King Drive, Cincinnati, OH 45268. A compiled version of the program can be obtained from EMSL-Cincinnati by sending a diskette with a written request.

2.6 DATA INPUT AND OUTPUT

- 2.6.1 Data on the number of surviving mysids, *Mysidopsis bahia*, from a survival, growth and fecundity test (Table C.6) are used to illustrate the data input and output for this program.
- 2.6.2 Data Input
- 2.6.2.1 When the program is entered, the user is asked to select the type of data to be analyzed:
 - 1. Response proportions, like survival or fertilization proportions data.
 - 2. Counts and measurements, like offspring counts, cystocarp and algal cell counts, weights, chlorophyll measurements or turbidity measurements.
- 2.6.2.2 After the type of analysis for the data is chosen, the user has the following options:
 - 1. Create a data file
 - 2. Edit a data file
 - 3. Perform analysis on existing data set
 - 4. Stop
- 2.6.2.3 When Option 1 (Create a data file) is selected for response proportions, the program prompts the user for the following information:
 - 1. Number of concentrations, including control
 - 2. For each concentration and replicate:
 - number of organisms exposed per replicate
 - number of organisms responding per replicate (organisms surviving, eggs fertilized, etc.)
- 2.6.2.4 After the data have been entered, the user may save the file on a disk, and the program returns to the main menu (see below).

- 2.6.2.5 Sample data input is shown in Figure C.1.
- 2.6.3. Program Output
- 2.6.3.1 When Option 3 (perform analysis on existing data set) is selected from the menu, the user is asked to select the transformation desired, and indicate whether they expect the means of the test groups to be less or greater than the mean for the control group (see Figure C.2)
- 2.6.3.2 Summary statistics (Figure C.3) for the raw and transformed data, if applicable, the ANOVA table, results of Bartlett's Test, the results of the multiple comparison procedure, and the minimum detectable difference are included in the program output.

TABLE C.6. SAMPLE DATA FOR DUNNETT'S PROGRAM FOR SURVIVING MYSIDS, $MYSIDOPSIS\ BAHIA$

Treatment	Replicate Chamber	Total Mysids	No. Alive	
1 Control	1	5	4	
Control	2	5	4	
	3	5	5	
	4	5	5	
	5	5	5	
	6	5	5	
	7	5	5	
	8	5	4	
2 50 ppb	1	5	4	
PF -	2	5	5	
	3	5	4	
	4	5	4	
	5	5	5	
	6	5	5	
	7	5	4	
	8	5	5	
3 100 ppg	1	5	3	
110	2	5	5	
	3	5	5	
	4	5	5	
	5	5	5	
	6	5	3	
	7	5	4	
	8	4	4	
4 210 ppb	1	5	5	
	2	5	4	
	3	5	1	
	4	5	4	
	5	5	3	
	6	5	4	
	7	5	4	
	8	5	4	
5 450 ppb	1	5	0	
	2	5	1	
	3	5	0	
	3 4 5 6	5	1	
	5	5	0	
	6	5	0	
	7 8	5 5 5 5 5 5	0 2	
	8	5	2	

EMSL Cincinnati Dunnett Software Version 1.5

- 1) Create a data file
- 2) Edit a data file
- 3) Analyze an existing data set
- 4) Stop

Your choice ? 3

Number of concentrations, including control? 5

Number of replicates for conc. 1 (the control)? 8

replicate number of organisms exposed number of organisms responding (organisms surviving, eggs fertilized, etc.)

1	5	4
2	5	4
3	5	5
4	5	5
5	5	5
6	5	5
7	5	5
8	5	4

Number of replicates for conc. 2?8 Do you wish to save the data on disk?y

Disk file for output ? mysidsur.dat

Figure C.1. Sample Data Input for Dunnett's Program for Survival Data from Table C.6.

EMSL Cincinnati: Dunnett Software Version 1.5

- 1) Create a data file
- 2) Edit a data file
- 3) Analyze an existing data set
- 4) Stop

Your choice ? 3

File name ? mysidsur.dat

Available Transformations

- 1) no transform
- 2) square root
- 3) log10
- 4) arcsine square root

Your choice ? 4

Dunnett's test as implemented in this program is a one-sided test. You must specify the direction the test is to be run; that is, do you expect the means for the test concentrations to be less than or greater than the mean for the control concentration.

Direction for Dunnetts test: L=less than, G=greater than? 1

Summary Statistics for Raw Data

Conc.	n	Mean	s.d.	cv%
1 = control	8	.9250	.1035	11.2
2	8	.9000	.1069	11.9
3	8	.8500	.1773	20.9
4	8	.7250	.2375	32.8
5	8	.1000	.1512	151.2

Mysid Survival Example with Data in Table C.6

Figure C.2. Example of Choosing Option 3 from the Main Menu of the Dunnett Program.

Mysid Survival Example with Data in Table C.6

Summary Statistics and ANOVA

Transformation = Arcsine Square Root

Conc.	n	Mean	s.d.	cv%	
1 = control	8	1.2560	.1232	9.8	
2	8	1.2262	.1273	10.4	
3	8	1.1709	.2042	17.4	
4*	8	1.0288	.2593	25.2	
5*	8	.3424	.1752	51.2	

^{*)} the mean for this conc. is significantly less than the control mean at alpha = 0.05 (1-sided) by Dunnett's test

Minimum detectable difference for Dunnett's test = -.208074 This corresponds to a difference of -.153507 in original units This difference corresponds to -16.98 percent of control

Between concentrations

sum of squares = 4.632112 with 4 degrees of freedom.

Error mean square = .034208 with 35 degrees of freedom.

Bartlett's test p-value for equality of variances = .257

Do you wish to restart the program?

Figure C.3. Example of Program Output for the Dunnett's Program Using the Survival Data in Table C.6.

APPENDIX D

T TEST WITH BONFERRONI'S ADJUSTMENT

- 1. The t test with Bonferroni's adjustment is used as an alternative to Dunnett's Procedure when the number of replicates is not the same for all concentrations. This test sets an upper bound of alpha on the overall error rate, in contrast to Dunnett's Procedure, for which the overall error rate is fixed at alpha. Thus, Dunnett's Procedure is a more powerful test.
- 2. The t test with Bonferroni's adjustment is based on the same assumptions of normality of distribution and homogeneity of variance as Dunnett's Procedure (See Appendix B for testing these assumptions), and, like Dunnett's Procedure, uses a pooled estimate of the variance, which is equal to the error value calculated in an analysis of variance.
- 3. An example of the use of the t test with Bonferroni's adjustment is provided below. The data used in the example are the same as in Appendix C, except that the third replicate from the 50% effluent treatment is presumed to have been lost. Thus, Dunnett's Procedure cannot be used. The weight data are presented in Table D.1.

TABLE D.1. SHEEPSHEAD MINNOW, CYPRINODON VARIEGATUS, LARVAL GROWTH DATA (WEIGHT IN MG) USED FOR THE T TEST WITH BONFERRONI'S ADJUSTMENT

Effluent		Replica	ate Test V	<u>/essel</u>	Total	Mean
Conc (%)	i	1	2	3	(T_i)	(\overline{Y}_i)
Control	1	1.017	0.745	0.862	2.624	0.875
6.25 12.5	2 3	1.157 0.998	0.914 0.793	0.992 1.021	3.063 2.812	1.021 0.937
25.0 50.0	4 5	0.873 0.715	0.935 0.907	0.839 (Lost)	2.647 1.622	0.882 0.811

3.1 One way to obtain an estimate of the pooled variance is to construct an ANOVA table including all sums of squares, using the following formulas:

Where: p = number of effluent concentrations including the control

N = the total sample size;
$$N = \sum_{i} n_{i}$$

 n_i = the number of replicates for concentration i

$$SST = \sum_{ij} Y_{ij}^2 - G^2/N$$
 Total Sum of Squares

$$SSB = \sum_{i} T_{i}^{2} / n_{i} - G^{2} / N$$
 Between Sum of Squares

G = The grand total of all sample observations; $G = \sum_{i=1}^{r} T_i$ Where:

 T_i = The total of the replicate measurements for concentration i

 Y_{ij} = The jth observation for concentration i

3.2 For the data in this example:

$$n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = n_4 = 3$$

$$N = 20$$

$$T_1 = Y_{11} + Y_{12} + Y_{13} = 2.624$$

$$T_2 = Y_{21} + Y_{22} + Y_{23} = 3.063$$

$$T_3 = Y_{31} + Y_{32} + Y_{33} = 2.812$$

$$T_4 = Y_{41} + Y_{42} + Y_{43} = 2.647$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} T_1 & = & Y_{11} + Y_{12} + Y_{13} = 2.624 \\ T_2 & = & Y_{21} + Y_{22} + Y_{23} = 3.063 \\ T_3 & = & Y_{31} + Y_{32} + Y_{33} = 2.812 \\ T_4 & = & Y_{41} + Y_{42} + Y_{43} = 2.647 \\ T_5 & = & Y_{51} + Y_{52} + Y_{53} = 1.622 \end{array}$$

$$G = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4 + T_5 = 12.768$$

$$SSB = \sum_{i} T_{i}^{2}/n_{i} - G^{2}/N$$

$$= 11.709 - (12.768)^2/14$$

$$= 0.064$$

$$SST = \sum_{ij} Y_{ij}^2 - G^2/N$$

$$= 11.832 - (12.768)^2/14$$

$$= 0.188$$

$$SSW = SST - SSB$$

$$= 0.188 - 0.064$$

$$= 0.124$$

3.3 Summarize these data in the ANOVA table (Table D.2).

TABLE D.2. ANOVA TABLE FOR BONFERRONI'S ADJUSTMENT

Source	df	Sum of Squares (SS)	Mean Square (MS) (SS/df)	
Between	p - 1	SSB	$S_B^2 = SSB/(p-1)$	
Within	N - p	SSW	$S_W^2 = SSW/(N-p)$	
Total	N - 1	SST		

3.4 Summarize these calculations in the ANOVA table (Table D.3):

TABLE D.3. COMPLETED ANOVA TABLE FOR THE T-TEST WITH BONFERRONI'S ADJUSTMENT

Source	df	SS	Mean Square	
Between	5 - 1 = 4	0.064	0.016	
Within	14 - 5 = 9	0.124	0.014	
Total	13	0.188		

3.5 To perform the individual comparisons, calculate the t statistic for each concentration and control combination, as follows:

$$t_i = \frac{(\overline{Y}_1 - \overline{Y}_i)}{S_w \sqrt{(1/n_1) + (1/n_i)}}$$

Where: \overline{Y}_i = mean for concentration i

 \overline{Y}_1 = mean for the control

 S_w = square root of the within mean square

 n_1 = number of replicates in the control.

 n_i = number of replicates for concentration i.

3.6 Table D.4 includes the calculated t values for each concentration and control combination.

TABLE D.4. CALCULATED T VALUES

Effluent Concentration (%)	i	t_{i}	
6.25	2	- 1.511	
12.5	3	- 0.642	
25.0	4	- 0.072	
50.0	5	0.592	

^{3.7} Since the purpose of the test is only to detect a decrease in growth from the control, a one-sided test is appropriate. The critical value for the one-sided comparison (2.686), with an overall alpha level of 0.05, nine degrees of freedom and four concentrations excluding the control, was obtained from Table D.5. Comparing each of the calculated t values in Table D.4 with the critical value, no decreases in growth from the control were detected. Thus the NOEC is 50.0%.

=102.879 5.841 4.605 4.033 3.708 3.356 3.170 3.106 3.055 3.013 2.977 2.947 2.921 2.899 2.861 2.846 2.832 2.819 2.808 2.797 2.788 2.779 6 = CRITICAL VALUES FOR "T" FOR THE T TEST WITH BONFERRONI'S ADJUSTMENT 5.626 4.466 3.619 2.849 2.813 2.798 2.785 2.773 3.927 3.285 3.185 3.108 3.047 2.998 2.895 2.871 2.830 2.743 3.422 2.950 2.924 2.762 2.752 ∞ 5.392 4.315 3.811 3.522 3.206 3.039 2.981 2.981 2.897 2.884 2.837 2.739 2.775 2.775 2.745 2.745 2.732 2.710 2.692 3.111 2.701 2.684 | |-|<u>X</u> _ _ 4.148 3.412 3.239 3.118 3.029 2.771 2.729 2.712 3.681 2.961 2.907 2.863 2.827 2.797 2.697 2.684 2.672 2.642 2.634 2.661 2.651 2.613 2.627 \mathbf{Z} K = 67.649 3.535 3.288 3.128 2.934 2.871 2.821 2.730 2.746 2.746 2.718 2.694 2.640 3.961 3.016 2.655 2.626 2.613 2.602 2.592 2.583 2.574 2.566 K = 5P = 0.05 CRITICAL LEVEL, ONE TAILED 2.603 3.747 2.998 2.897 2.822 2.764 2.719 2.625 2.584 2.567 2.553 2.540 2.528 2.518 2.509 4.541 3.143 2.493 2.681 2.651 K = 425.452 2.459 2.969 2.842 2.533 2.510 2.490 2.446 2.752 2.686 2.634 2.594 2.561 2.473 2.434 2.424 2.414 2.406 2.398 2.385 2.391 K = 33.187 2.912 2.642 2.567 2.510 2.406 2.432 2.404 2.380 2.343 2.316 2.305 2.295 2.206 2.278 2.271 2.258 3.741 2.750 2.264 2.253 2.248 = 2 2.110 2.777 2.447 2.365 2.307 2.263 2.229 2.301 2.179 2.145 2.132 2.120 2.086 2.080 2.074 2.069 2.101 2.094 2.064 2.060 2.571 2.161 \mathbf{M} 2.016 .762 .754 .746 .740 .735 .730 .725 .721 .718 2.354 2.132 1.944 1.895 .860 .834 .813 .796 .783 .709 .706 .704 TABLE D.5. .711 || \leq ф

CRITICAL VALUES FOR "T" FOR THE T TEST WITH BONFERRONI'S ADJUSTMENT P = 0.05 CRITICAL LEVEL, ONE TAILED (CONTINUED) TABLE D.5.

	K = 1	K = 2	K = 3	K = 4	K = 5	K = 6	K = 7	K = 8	K = 9	$\mathbf{K} = 10$
1.7	00	2.046	2.235	2.364	2.463	2.541	2.607	2.664	2.713	2.757
30 1.6	869'	2.043	2.231	2.360	2.458	2.536	2.602	2.658	2.707	2.750
31 1.6	96	2.040	2.228	2.356	2.453	2.531	2.597	2.652	2.701	2.745
	94	2.037	2.224	2.352	2.449	2.527	2.592	2.647	2.696	2.739
	93	2.035	2.221	2.349	2.445	2.523	2.587	2.643	2.691	2.734
	91	2.033	2.219	2.346	2.442	2.519	2.583	2.638	2.686	2.729
	06	2.031	2.216	2.342	2.438	2.515	2.579	2.634	2.682	2.724
	68	2.029	2.213	2.340	2.435	2.512	2.575	2.630	2.678	2.720
	88	2.027	2.211	2.337	2.432	2.508	2.572	2.626	2.674	2.716
	98	2.025	2.209	2.334	2.429	2.505	2.568	2.623	2.670	2.712
39 1.6	85	2.023	2.207	2.332	2.426	2.502	2.565	2.619	2.667	2.708
	84	2.022	2.205	2.329	2.424	2.499	2.562	2.616	2.663	2.705
	92	2.009	2.189	2.311	2.404	2.478	2.539	2.592	2.638	2.678
	71	2.001	2.179	2.300	2.391	2.463	2.324	2.576	2.621	2.661
	19	1.995	2.171	2.291	2.381	2.453	2.513	2.564	2.609	2.648
	9	1.991	2.166	2.285	2.374	2.446	2.505	2.556	2.600	2.639
	62	1.987	2.162	2.280	2.369	2.440	2.499	2.549	2.593	2.632
	.61	1.984	2.158	2.276	2.365	2.435	2.494	2.544	2.588	2.626
	26	1.982	2.156	2.273	2.361	2.432	2.490	2.540	2.583	2.622
	28	1.980	2.153	2.270	2.358	2.429	2.487	2.536	2.580	2.618
Infinite 1.6	45	1.960	2.129	2.242	2.327	2.394	2.450	2.498	2.540	2.576

d.f. = Degrees of freedom for MSE (Mean Square Error) from ANOVA. K = Number of concentrations to be compared to the control.

APPENDIX E

STEEL'S MANY-ONE RANK TEST

- 1. Steel's Many-one Rank Test is a nonparametric test for comparing treatments with a control. This test is an alternative to Dunnett's Procedure, and may be applied to data when the normality assumption has not been met. Steel's Test requires equal variances across the treatments and the control, but it is thought to be fairly insensitive to deviations from this condition (Steel, 1959). The tables for Steel's Test require an equal number of replicates at each concentration. If this is not the case, use Wilcoxon's Rank Sum Test, with Bonferroni's adjustment (See Appendix F).
- 2. For an analysis using Steel's Test, for each control and concentration combination, combine the data and arrange the observations in order of size from smallest to largest. Assign the ranks to the ordered observations (1 to the smallest, 2 to the next smallest, etc.). If ties occur in the ranking, assign the average rank to the observation. (Extensive ties would invalidate this procedure). The sum of the ranks within each concentration and within the control is then calculated. To determine if the response in a concentration is significantly different from the response in the control, the minimum rank sum for each concentration and control combination is compared to the significant values of rank sums given later in the section. In this table, k equals the number of treatments excluding the control and n equals the number of replicates for each concentration and the control.
- 3. An example of the use of this test is provided below. The test employs survival data from a mysid 7-day, chronic test. The data are listed in Table E.1. Throughout the test, the control data are taken from the site water control. Since there is 0% survival for all eight replicates for the 50% concentration, it is not included in this analysis and is considered a qualitative mortality effect.
- 4. For each control and concentration combination, combine the data and arrange the observations in order of size from smallest to largest. Assign the ranks (1, 2, 3, ..., 16) to the ordered observations (1 to the smallest, 2 to the next smallest, etc.). If ties occur in the ranking, assign the average rank to each tied observation.
- 5. An example of assigning ranks to the combined data for the control and 3.12% effluent concentration is given in Table E.2. This ranking procedure is repeated for each control and concentration combination. The complete set of rankings is listed in Table E.3. The ranks are then summed for each effluent concentration, as shown in Table E.4.
- 6. For this set of data, determine if the survival in any of the effluent concentrations is significantly lower than the survival of the control organisms. If this occurs, the rank sum at that concentration would be significantly lower than the rank sum of the control. Thus, compare the rank sums for the survival at each of the various effluent concentrations with some "minimum" or critical rank sum, at or below which the survival would be considered to be significantly lower than the control. At a probability level of 0.05, the critical rank sum in a test with four concentrations and eight replicates per concentration, is 47 (see Table E.5).
- 7. Of the rank sums in Table E.4, none are less than 47. Therefore, due to the qualitative effect at the 50% effluent concentration, the NOEC is 25% effluent and the LOEC is 50% effluent.

TABLE E.1. EXAMPLE OF STEEL'S MANY-ONE RANK TEST: DATA FOR MYSID, MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA, 7-DAY CHRONIC TEST

Efflue Conce	nt entration	Replicate Chamber	Number of Mysids at Start of Test	Number of Live Mysids at End of Test
		1	5	4
		2	5	4
		3	5	5
Contro	-1	4	5	4
Contro)I		3	
(Site V	Water)	5	5	5
		6	5	4
		7	5	4
		8	5	5
		1	5	3
			3	3
		2	5	5
		3	5	3
Contro	ol	4	5	3
(Brine	. &r	5	5	4
(DIIII)	W (5	5	4
Diluti	on Water)	6	5	4
		7	5	3
		8	5	3
		1	5	4
			5	
		2	5	4
		3	5	4
3.12%)	4	5	5
3.12/	,	5	5	4
			5	
		6	5	4
		7	5	5
		8	5	3
			5	2
		1	5	3
		2	5	4
		3	5	5
6.25%		4	5	4
0.2370	,		5	
		5	5	4
		6	5	4
		7	5	5
		8	5	5
			3	3
		1	5	5
		2	5	4
		3	5	5
10.70			, -	3
12.5%)	4	5	3
		5	5	5
		6	5	4
		7	5	4
			<i>5</i>	
		8	5	3
		1	5	5
		2	5	5
		3	5 5 5	5 5 5 5 3 5
25.00			5	5
25.0%)	4	5 5	5
		5	5	3
		6	5	5
		7	5	4
			<i>5</i>	
		8	5	4
		1	5	0
		2	5 5	0
		3	5 5	0
		4		0
50.0%	,			
50.0%	,	5	5	0
50.0%	,	5	5	0
50.0%	,	6	5 5	0
50.0%	,		5 5 5 5	

TABLE E.2. EXAMPLE OF STEEL'S MANY-ONE RANK TEST: ASSIGNING RANKS TO THE CONTROL AND 3.12% EFFLUENT CONCENTRATIONS

Rank	Number of Live Mysids, <i>Mysidopsis bahia</i>	Control or % Effluent
	_	2.42
1	3	3.12
6.5	4	Control
6.5	4	3.12
6.5	4	3.12
6.5	4	3.12
6.5	4	3.12
6.5	4	3.12
14	5	Control
14	5	Control
14	5	Control
14	5	3.12
14	5	3.12

TABLE E.3. TABLE OF RANKS

Replicate			Effluent Con	centration (%	6)
Chamber	Control ¹	3.12	6.25	12.5	25.0
1	4 (6.5,6,6.5,5)	4 (6.5)	3 (1)	5 (13.5)	5 (12.5)
2	4 (6.5,6,6.5,5)	4 (6.5)	4 (6)	4 (6.5)	5 (12.5)
3	5 (14,13.5,13.5,12.5)	4 (6.5)	5 (13.5)	5 (13.5)	5 (12.5)
4	4 (6.5,6,6.5,5)	5 (14)	4 (6)	3 (1.5)	5 (12.5)
5	5 (14,13.5,13.5,12.5)	4 (6.5)	4 (6)	5 (13.5)	3(1)
6	4 (6.5,6,6.5,5)	4 (6.5)	4 (6)	4 (6.5)	5 12.5)
7	4 (6.5,6,6.5,5)	5 (14)	5 (13.5)	4 (6.5)	4 (5)
8	5 (14,13.5,13.5,12.5)	3 (1)	5 (13.5)	3 (1.5)	4 (5)

Control ranks are given in the order of the concentration with which they were ranked.

TABLE E.4. RANK SUMS

Effluent Concentration (%)	Rank Sum	
3.12	61.5	
6.25	65.5	
12.50	63.0	
25.00	73.5	

TABLE E.5. SIGNIFICANT VALUES OF RANK SUMS: JOINT CONFIDENCE COEFFICIENTS OF 0.95 (UPPER) and 0.99 (LOWER) FOR ONE-SIDED ALTERNATIVES (Steel, 1959)

n	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
4	11	10	10	10	10			
·								
5	18	17	17	16	16	16	16	15
	15							
6	27	26	25	25	24	24	24	23
	23	22	21	21				
7	37	36	35	35	34	34	33	33
	32	31	30	30	29	29	29	29
8	49	48	47	46	46	45	45	44
	43	42	41	40	40	40	39	39
9	63	62	61	60	59	59	58	58
	56	55	54	53	52	52	51	51
10	79	77	76	75	74	74	73	72
	71	69	68	67	66	66	65	65
11	97	95	93	92	91	90	90	89
	87	85	84	83	82	81	81	80
12	116	114	112	111	110	109	108	108
	105	103	102	100	99	99	98	98
13	138	135	133	132	130	129	129	128
	125	123	121	120	119	118	117	117
14	161	158	155	154	153	152	151	150
	147	144	142	141	140	139	138	137
15	186	182	180	178	177	176	175	174
	170	167	165	164	162	161	160	160
16	213	209	206	204	203	201	200	199
	196	192	190	188	187	186	185	184
17	241	237	234	232	231	229	228	227
	223	219	217	215	213	212	211	210
18	272	267	264	262	260	259	257	256
	252	248	245	243	241	240	239	238
19	304	299	296	294	292	290	288	287
	282	278	275	273	272	270	268	267
20	339 315	333 310	330 307	327 305	325 303	323 301	322 300	320 299

APPENDIX F

WILCOXON RANK SUM TEST

- 1. Wilcoxon's Rank Sum Test is a nonparametric test, to be used as an alternative to Steel's Many-one Rank Test when the number of replicates are not the same at each concentration. A Bonferroni's adjustment of the pairwise error rate for comparison of each concentration versus the control is used to set an upper bound of alpha on the overall error rate, in contrast to Steel's Many-one Rank Test, for which the overall error rate is fixed at alpha. Thus, Steel's Test is a more powerful test.
- 2. The use of this test may be illustrated with fecundity data from the mysid test in Table F.1. The site water control and the 12.5% effluent concentration each have seven replicates for the proportion of females bearing eggs, while there are eight replicates for each of the remaining three concentrations.
- 3. For each concentration and control combination, combine the data and arrange the values in order of size, from smallest to largest. Assign ranks to the ordered observations (a rank of 1 to the smallest, 2 to the next smallest, etc.). If ties in rank occur, assign the average rank to each tied observation.
- 4. An example of assigning ranks to the combined data for the control and effluent concentration 3.12% is given in Table F.2. This ranking procedure is repeated for each of the three remaining control versus test concentration combinations. The complete set of ranks is listed in Table F.3. The ranks are then summed for each effluent concentration, as shown in Table F.4.
- 5. For this set of data, determine if the fecundity in any of the test concentrations is significantly lower than the fecundity in the control. If this occurs, the rank sum at that concentration would be significantly lower than the rank sum. Thus, compare the rank sums for fecundity of each of the various effluent concentrations with some "minimum" or critical rank sum, at or below which the fecundity would be considered to be significantly lower than the control. At a probability level of 0.05, the critical rank in a test with four concentrations and seven replicates in the control is 44 for those concentrations with eight replicates, and 34 for those concentrations with seven replicates (see Table F.5, for K = 4).
- 6. Comparing the rank sums in Table F.4 to the appropriate critical rank, only the 25% effluent concentration does not exceed its critical value of 44. Thus, the NOEC and LOEC for fecundity are 12.5% and 25%, respectively.

TABLE F.1. EXAMPLE OF WILCOXON'S RANK SUM TEST: FECUNDITY DATA FOR MYSID, $MYSIDOPSIS\ BAHIA,\ 7\text{-}DAY\ CHRONIC\ TEST$

Effluent Concentration	Replicate Chamber	Number of Mysids at Start of Test	Number of Live Mysids at End of Test	Proportion of Females with Eggs
Control	1	5	4	0.50
(Site Water)	2 3	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	4 5	
	3	5	5	0.75
	4	5	4	0.67
	5	5	5	0.67
	6	5	4	0.50
	7	5	4	1.00
	8	5	5 3 5	1.00
Control	1	5	3	1.00
Brine &	2 3 4	5	5	1.00
Dilution Water)	3	5	3 3	1.00
	4	5	3	1.00
	5	5	4	1.00
	6	5	4	0.50
	7		3	0.50
	8	5	3	0.50
3.12%	1	5	4	1.00
	2	5	4	0.50
	2 3 4	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	4	0.67
	4	5	5	1.00
	5	5	4	0.50
	6	5	4	1.00
	7	5	5 3 3	1.00
	8	5	3	0.00
5.25%	1	5	3	0.50
	2 3	5	4 5	0.00
	3	5	5	0.75
	4	5	4	1.00
	5	5	4	1.00
	6	5	4	1.00
	7	5	5	0.67
	8	5	5	0.67
	1	5	5	0.33
2.5%	2	5	4	0.50
	3	5	4 5 5 5 4 5 3 5	1.00
	4	5	3	
	5	5	5	1.00
	6	5	4	0.00
	7	5	4	0.33
	8	5	3	0.50
25.0%	1	5	5	0.00
	2 3 4	5	5	0.50
	3	5	5	0.13
	4	5	5	0.00
	5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	3 5 5 5 5 5 5	0.50
	6			0.00
	7	5 5	4	0.50
	8	5	4	0.50
0.0%	1	5 5	0	
	2	5	0	
	3	5	0	
	4	5	0	
	5	5	0	
	6	5	0	
	7	5	0	
	8	5	0	

TABLE F.2. EXAMPLE OF WILCOXON'S RANK SUM TEST: ASSIGNING RANKS TO THE CONTROL AND 3.12% EFFLUENT CONCENTRATIONS

Rank	Proportion of Females W/Eggs	Site Water Control or Effluent %
1	0.00	3.12
3.5	0.50	Control
3.5	0.50	Control
3.5	0.50	3.12
3.5	0.50	3.12
7	0.67	Control
7	0.67	Control
7	0.67	3.12
9	0.75	Control
12.5	1.00	Control
12.5	1.00	Control
12.5	1.00	3.12
12.5	1.00	3.12
12.5	1.00	3.12
12.5	1.00	3.12

TABLE F.3. TABLE OF RANKS¹

Rep	Proportion	Site Water		Effluent Conc	entration (%)	
1	1	Control Rank	3.12	6.25	12.5	25.0
1	0.50	(3.5,3,5.5,7.5)	1.00 (12.5)	0.50 (3)	0.33 (2.5)	0.00(2)
2			0.50(3.5)	0.00 (1)	0.50 (5.5)	0.50 (7.5)
3	0.75	(9,9.5,10,13)	0.67 (7)	0.75 (9.5)	1.00 (12.5)	0.33 (4)
4	0.67	(7,6.5,8.5,11.5)	1.00 (12.5)	1.00 (13)		0.00(2)
5	0.67	(7,6.5,8.5,11.5)	0.50 (3.5)	1.00 (13)	1.00 (12.5)	0.50 (7.5)
6	0.50	(3.5,3,5.5,7.5)	1.00 (12.5)	1.00 (13)	0.00(1)	0.00(2)
7	1.00	(12.5,13,12.5,14.5)	1.00 (12.5)	0.67(6.5)	0.33 (2.5)	0.50 (7.5)
8	1.00	(12.5,13,12.5,12.5)	0.00(1)	0.67(6.5)	0.50(5.5)	0.50 (7.5)

¹Control ranks are given in the order of the concentration with which they were ranked.

TABLE F.4. RANK SUMS

Effluent Concentration (%)	Rank Sum	No. of Replicates	Critical Rank Sum
3.12	65	8	44
6.25	65.5	8	44
12.50	42	7	34
25.00	40	8	44

TABLE F.5. CRITICAL VALUES FOR WILCOXON'S RANK SUM TEST WITH BONFERRONI'S ADJUSTMENT OF ERROR RATE FOR COMPARISON OF "K" TREATMENTS VERSUS A CONTROL FIVE PERCENT CRITICAL LEVEL (ONE-SIDED ALTERNATIVE: TREATMENT CONTROL)

K	No. Replicates in Control		No. of	Replica	tes Per	Effluen:	t Conce	ntration	n
	in Control	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	2		10	1.6	22	20	20	40	50
1	3	6	10	16	23	30	39	49	59
	4	6	11	17	24	32	41	51	62
	5	7	12	19	26	34	44	54	66
	6	8	13	20	28	36	46	57	69
	7	8	14	21	29	39	49	60	72
	8	9	15	23	31	41	51	63	72
	9	10	16	24	33	43	54	66	79
	10	10	17	26	35	45	56	69	82
2	3			15	22	29	38	47	58
2	4		10	16	23	31	40	49	60
	5	6	11	17	24	33	42	52	63
	6	7	12	18	26	34	44	55	66
	7	7	13	20	27	36	46	57	69
	8	8	14	21	29	38	49	60	72
	9	8	14	22	31	40	51	62	75
	10	9	15	23	32	42	53	65	78
3	3				21	29	37	46	57
	4		10	16	22	30	39	48	59
	5		11	17	24	32	41	51	62
	6	6	11	18	25	33	43	53	65
	7	7	12	19	26	35	45	56	68
	8	7	13	20	28	37	47	58	70
	9	7	13	21	29	39	49	61	73
	10	8	14	22	31	41	51	63	76

TABLE F.5. CRITICAL VALUES FOR WILCOXON'S RANK SUM TEST WITH BONFERRONI'S ADJUSTMENT OF ERROR RATE FOR COMPARISON OF "K" TREATMENTS VERSUS A CONTROL FIVE PERCENT CRITICAL LEVEL (ONE-SIDED ALTERNATIVE: TREATMENT CONTROL) (CONTINUED)

K	No. Replicates		No. of	Replica	tes Per	Effluen	t Conce	ntration	<u> </u>	
	in Control	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
4	3				21	28	37	46	56	
	4			15	22	30	38	48	59	
	5		10	16	23	31	40	50	61	
	6	6	11	17	24	33	42	52	64	
	7	6	12	18	26	34	44	55	67	
	8	7	12	19	27	36	46	57	69	
	9	7	13	20	28	38	48	60	72	
	10	7	14	21	30	40	50	62	75	
5	3					28	36	46	56	
3	4			15	22	29	38	48	58	
	5		10	16	23	31	40	50	61	
	6		11	17	24	32	42	52	63	
	7	6	11	18	25	34	43	54	66	
	8	6	12	19	27	35	45	56	68	
	9	7	13	20	28	37	47	59	71	
	10	7	13	21	29	39	49	61	74	
	2					20	26	45	56	
6	3 4			15	21	28 29	36 38	45 47	58	
	5		10	16	22	30	38 39	47 49	58 60	
	6		11	16	24	32	41	51	63	
	7	6	11	17	25	33	43	54	65	
	8	6	12	18	26	35	45	56	68	
	9	6	12	19	27	37	47	58	70	
	10	7	13	20	29	38	49	60	73	
7	3						36	45	56	
	4				21	29	37	47	58	
	5			15	22	30	39	49	60	
	6		10	16	23	32	41	51	62	
	7		11	17	25	33	43	53	65	
	8	6	11	18	26	35	44	55	67	
	9	6	12	19	27	36	46	58	70 72	
	10	7	13	20	28	38	48	60	72	

TABLE F.5. CRITICAL VALUES FOR WILCOXON'S RANK SUM TEST WITH BONFERRONI'S ADJUSTMENT OF ERROR RATE FOR COMPARISON OF "K" TREATMENTS VERSUS A CONTROL FIVE PERCENT CRITICAL LEVEL (ONE-SIDED ALTERNATIVE: TREATMENT CONTROL) (CONTINUED)

K	No. Replicates in Control	No. of Replicate Per Effluent Concentration								
		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
8	3						36	45	55	
	4				21	29	37	47	57	
	5			15	22	30	39	49	59	
	6		10	16	23	31	40	51	62	
	7		11	17	24	33	42	53	64	
	8	6	11	18	25	34	44	55	67	
	9	6	12	19	27	36	46	57	69	
	10	6	12	19	28	37	48	59	72	
9	3							45	55	
	4				21	28	37	46	57	
	5			15	22	30	39	48	59	
	6		10	16	23	31	40	50	62	
	7		10	17	24	33	42	52	64	
	8		11	18	25	34	44	55	66	
	9	6	11	18	26	35	46	57	69	
	10	6	12	19	28	37	47	59	71	
10	3							45	55	
10	4				21	28	37	46	57	
	5			15	22	29	38	48	59	
	6		10	16	23	31	40	50	61	
	7		10	16	24	32	42	52	64	
	8		11	17	25	34	43	54	66	
	9	6	11	18	26	35	45	56	68	
	10	6	12	19	27	37	47	58	71	